

§1. Non-Abelian Gauge Theories

§1.1 Gauge invariance

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(\psi, D_\mu \psi, D_\nu D_\mu \psi, \dots, F_{\mu\nu}^\alpha, D_\rho F_{\mu\nu}^\alpha, \dots)$$

↑
Lagrangian

ψ : matter fields

D_μ : derivatives, where $\mu=0,1,2,3$ are the space-time directions

$F_{\mu\nu}^\alpha$: field strength

Assume invariance under infinitesimal trfs.

$$\delta \psi_e(x) = i \varepsilon^\alpha(x) (t_\alpha)_e^m \psi_m \quad (1)$$

↑
space-time coordinate

with some constant matrices t_α , and infinitesimal parameters $\varepsilon^\alpha(x)$

→ infinitesimal generators of Lie group:

$$[t_\alpha, t_\beta] = i C_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma t_\gamma \quad (2)$$

where $C_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma$ are the "structure-constants" satisfying

$$C_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma = -C_{\beta\alpha}^\gamma \quad \text{and}$$

$$0 = C_{\alpha\beta}^\delta C_{\delta\gamma}^\varepsilon + C_{\beta\gamma}^\delta C_{\delta\alpha}^\varepsilon + C_{\gamma\alpha}^\delta C_{\delta\beta}^\varepsilon$$

The last equality follows from the

"Jacobi-identity" $0 = [[t_\alpha, t_\rho], t_\gamma] + [[t_\gamma, t_\alpha], t_\rho] + [[t_\rho, t_\gamma], t_\alpha]$

→ adjoint representation: $(t_\alpha^A)^\rho_\gamma = -i C^\rho_{\gamma\alpha}$

Example:

i) "iso-spin":

$\psi = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_p \\ \psi_n \end{pmatrix}$ with t_α given by

$t_1 = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, t_2 = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, t_3 = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$

satisfying the commutation relations (2) with

$C^\gamma_{\alpha\beta} = \epsilon_{\gamma\alpha\beta}$

ii) adjoint representation:

$t_1^A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, t_2^A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & i \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -i & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$

Differentiating equation (1) gives

$\delta(\partial_n \psi_e(x)) = i \epsilon^\alpha(x) (t_\alpha)_e^m (\partial_n \psi_m(x)) + i (\partial_n \epsilon^\alpha(x)) (t_\alpha)_e^m \psi_m(x)$

→ to make the Lagrangian invariant, we need a field A_μ^α with:

$\delta A_\mu^\alpha = \partial_\mu \epsilon^\alpha + i \epsilon^\alpha (t_\alpha^A)^\beta_\gamma A_\mu^\gamma \quad (3)$

$$\rightarrow (D_m \psi(x))_e = \partial_m \psi_e(x) - i A_m^\beta(x) (t_\beta)_e{}^m \psi_m(x)$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned} S(D_m \psi)_e &= i \varepsilon^\alpha (t_\alpha)_e{}^m \partial_m \psi_m - i C^\beta{}_{\gamma\alpha} \varepsilon^\alpha A_m^\gamma (t_\beta)_e{}^m \psi_m \\ &\quad + A_m^\gamma (t_\gamma)_e{}^m (t_\alpha)_m{}^n \psi_n \varepsilon^\alpha \end{aligned}$$

using (2), we get

$$S(D_m \psi)_e = i \varepsilon^\alpha (t_\alpha)_e{}^m (D_m \psi)_m$$

We also notice

$$([D_\nu, D_m] \psi)_e = -i (t_\nu)_e{}^m F^\nu{}_{\mu} \psi_\mu,$$

where

$$F^\nu{}_{\mu} = \partial_\nu A_\mu^\gamma - \partial_\mu A_\nu^\gamma + C^\gamma{}_{\alpha\beta} A_\nu^\alpha A_\mu^\beta$$

$$S F^\beta{}_{\nu\mu} = i \varepsilon^\alpha (t_\alpha^\beta)^\gamma F^\nu{}_{\mu} = \varepsilon^\alpha C^\beta{}_{\gamma\alpha} F^\nu{}_{\mu} \quad (*)$$

finite transformations:

$$\psi_e(x) \rightarrow \psi_{e\Lambda}(x) = [\exp(it_\alpha \Lambda^\alpha(x))]_e{}^m \psi_m(x) \quad (4)$$

$$\text{want } (\partial_m - it_m A_m^\alpha) \psi_\Lambda = \exp(it_\alpha \Lambda^\alpha) (\partial_m - it_m A_m^\alpha) \psi,$$

thus we must impose

$$\begin{aligned} t_\alpha A_m^\alpha \Lambda = & \exp(it_\beta \Lambda^\beta) t_\alpha A_m^\alpha \exp(-it_\beta \Lambda^\beta) \\ & - i [\partial_m \exp(it_\beta \Lambda^\beta)] \exp(-it_\beta \Lambda^\beta) \quad (5) \end{aligned}$$

In the limit $\Lambda^\alpha(x) \rightarrow \text{inf. } \varepsilon^\alpha(x)$ equations (4) and (5) reduce to (1) and (2).

Note:

- i) It is always possible to choose $\Lambda^\rho(x)$ so that any one spacetime component of $A^\alpha_{\mu\nu}(x)$ vanishes for all x everywhere:
to make $A^\alpha_{31}(x)$ vanish, solve

$$\partial_3 \exp(it_\rho \Lambda^\rho) = -i \exp(it_\rho \Lambda^\rho) t_\alpha A^\alpha_3$$

- ii) If $A^\alpha_{\mu\nu}(x)$ vanishes everywhere then so does $F^\alpha_{\mu\nu}(x)$, but since F transforms homogeneously, $F^\alpha_{\mu\nu}(x)$ can vanish only if $F^\alpha_{\mu\nu}(x)$ does.
 \rightarrow corresponding $A^\alpha_\mu(x)$ is called "pure gauge"

§1.2 Gauge theory Lagrangians and simple Lie Groups

Our Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(\psi, D_\mu \psi, D_\nu D_\mu \psi, \dots, F_{\mu\nu}^\alpha, D_\rho F_{\mu\nu}^\alpha \dots)$$

must be gauge invariant:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \psi_e} i(t_a)_e^m \psi_m + \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (D_\mu \psi)_e} i(t_a)_e^m (D_\mu \psi)_m \\ & + \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (D_\nu D_\mu \psi)_e} i(t_a)_e^m (D_\nu D_\mu \psi)_m + \dots + \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial F_{\mu\nu}^\beta} C_{\mu\nu}^{\beta\alpha} F_{\mu\nu}^\alpha \\ & + \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial D_\rho F_{\mu\nu}^\beta} C_{\mu\nu}^{\beta\alpha} D_\rho F_{\mu\nu}^\alpha + \dots = 0 \quad (1) \end{aligned}$$

→ does not depend on gauge field A_μ^α explicitly, only implicitly through $F_{\mu\nu}$ and D_μ (e.e. no terms $\frac{1}{2} m_{\alpha\beta}^2 A_\mu^\alpha A_\nu^\beta$)

$$\mathcal{L}_A = -\frac{1}{4} g_{\alpha\beta} F_{\mu\nu}^\alpha F^{\beta\mu\nu}$$

↑
constant matrix

also possible:

$$\mathcal{L}'_A = -\frac{1}{2} \theta_{\alpha\beta} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} F_{\mu\nu}^\alpha F_{\rho\sigma}^\beta \quad (\text{breaks parity})$$

↑
constant matrix

(= $d(A \wedge F)$ → derivative, does not affect Feynman rules!)

Note: \mathcal{L}_A leads to self-interactions of the gauge field from the quadratic terms in A
 \rightarrow different from electrodynamics!
 (photon does not carry electric charge)

To satisfy the gauge invariance requirement, we need

$$g_{\alpha\beta} F_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha} C_{\gamma\delta}^{\beta} F^{\gamma\mu\nu} = 0 \quad \forall \delta$$

$$\rightarrow g_{\alpha\beta} C_{\gamma\delta}^{\beta} = -g_{\gamma\delta} C_{\alpha\beta}^{\beta} \quad (2)$$

and we also have $g_{\alpha\beta}$ positive-definite.

The following are equivalent:

- There exists a real symmetric positive-definite matrix $g_{\alpha\beta}$ that satisfies (2)
- There is a basis \tilde{T}_k for the Lie algebra, for which structure constants $\tilde{C}_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^{\alpha}$ are fully anti-symmetric in the 3 indices.
- The Lie algebra is a direct sum of commuting compact simple (and $U(1)$) subalgebras.